## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 21-CR-018-WMC

KELLY HARPER,

Madison, Wisconsin June 24, 2021

Defendant.

2:20 p.m.

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT OF PLEA HEARING HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM M. CONLEY

## APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

Office of the United States Attorney BY: CHADWICK M. ELGERSMA Assistant United States Attorney 222 West Washington Avenue, Suite 700 Madison, Wisconsin 53703

For the Defendant:

Federal Defender Services of Wisconsin, Inc. BY: JOSEPH A. BUGNI Madison Branch Office 22 East Mifflin Street, Suite 1000 Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Also Present:

Kelly Harper, Defendant

CHERYL A. SEEMAN, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
United States District Court
120 North Henry Street, Room 410
Madison, Wisconsin 53703
1-608-261-5708

(Called to order 2:20 p.m.)

THE CLERK: Case No. 21-CR-18, United States of America v. Kelly Harper, called for a plea hearing. May we have the appearances, please?

MR. ELGERSMA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Chad Elgersma for the United States.

MR. BUGNI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Joe Bugni appearing on behalf of Ms. Harper.

THE COURT: Good afternoon all. We are here for a plea hearing based on a written plea agreement signed by the defendant on June 9th and previously provided to the Court. At the outset, I note it does not appear that it has been put on the record that the government has an obligation, under Rule 5(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, to disclose all potential exculpatory evidence consistent with Brady v. Maryland and its progeny and that failure to do so timely may result in sanctions, although my understanding is, Mr. Elgersma, that the government is not only aware of its obligation, but believes it has fulfilled it in this case.

MR. ELGERSMA: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Bugni, my understanding is, as defense counsel, you have no reason to doubt that that obligation has been satisfied.

MR. BUGNI: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And with that as preliminary then, I'll just confirm with you, Mr. Bugni, that you and your client have received a copy of the one-count indictment and ask whether you wish it read aloud or waive reading.

MR. BUGNI: We have received it and we waive reading, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Elgersma, would you please state the maximum and any applicable minimum penalties that may apply should the defendant be found guilty in the one-count indictment?

MR. ELGERSMA: The defendant has been charged with one count of violating Title 18, United States Code, Section 1958(a), which carries maximum penalties of ten years in prison, a \$250,000 fine, a three-year period of supervised release, and a mandatory \$100 special assessment.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugni, have you had sufficient time to talk with your client about these possible penalties, as well as the charges against her, and any defenses that she may have to the charges?

MR. BUGNI: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Harper, then it's my understanding that you do wish to enter a plea today. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Before accepting your plea, it's my obligation to ensure that you're acting freely and voluntarily, with an understanding of both the charge against you and the consequences of a plea of guilty, and then further to assure that there's a factual basis for your plea. To do those things, I'll need to ask you a series of questions under oath. So I would ask that you stand at this time and sworn by our clerk.

## KELLY HARPER, DEFENDANT, SWORN

THE COURT: My first few questions just go to ensuring that you're capable and ready to proceed today.

Can you tell me how old you are and how far you progressed in terms of formal education?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm 38 years old and I have a college degree.

THE COURT: And what is your degree in?

THE DEFENDANT: Public health administration.

THE COURT: And where did you earn that?

THE DEFENDANT: University of Arizona.

THE COURT: And were you certified in any way following a graduation?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And haven't earned any qualifications or certifications on the job?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there any reason why you would have trouble understanding the proceedings today in particular, whether because of an illness, whether because you're under the influence of a prescription medication or elicit drug or alcohol, overly tired, any reason at all that you would have trouble understanding the proceedings today?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

I just want to assure that at this point you've had enough time to talk with your counsel, Mr. Bugni, about the charge against you, the facts the government believes it could prove, the statutory penalties that may apply, and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines and how those guidelines could impact your sentence. Have you had a chance to talk about all of those things sufficiently with your counsel?

THE COURT: All right. Then I'm going to begin with the charge against you. And I'll simply ask you that you tell me, in your own words, what you understand you're being formally charged with doing in the one-count indictment, so what is your understanding of that charge. And there's no magic words. I just want to make sure you have a general understanding of what you're being charged

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

with.

2.0

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, being charged with going on the dark web for soliciting murder-for-hire.

THE COURT: And that's essentially right. The charge is that from about October 19, 2020, through December 10th of that same year, that you knowingly used the internet with an intent to arrange a murder of a known victim, in violation of the law, using consideration of payment, specifically bitcoin. You understand that's the essential charge against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that if I were to accept your plea and adjudge you guilty that you could be subject to maximum penalties of ten years in prison, a \$250,000 fine, three-year period of supervised release and a hundred dollar special assessment, as was just reviewed by the assistant U.S. attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. In addition, if you were to violate the terms and conditions of any period of supervised release, do you understand you could be subject to further penalties, up to and including additional time in prison?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then I'm going to turn just briefly

to the Federal Sentencing Guidelines. I'm sure Mr. Bugni explained the probation office has the first obligation to calculate the guideline range. They'll do that by looking at the number of points attributable to your offense under the guidelines as well as any relevant conduct related to your crime, including any communications that you used as well as the amount that was involved. They would give you credit for acceptance of responsibility, assuming there's no reason to deny you that credit under the guidelines. They would consider your role in this offense, your limited prior criminal record, and other factors that that office deems relevant.

The probation office then issues a presentence report that you and your counsel and the government's counsel can object to. And it becomes my obligation to rule on those objections and then to decide what the guideline range is for purposes of sentencing. Do you understand that will be the process?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: While that will be the process, do you also understand that I am not bound to sentence you within the guideline range? I could give you a lower sentence below that range or a higher sentence up to ten years in prison if I believe it better serves the purposes of sentencing.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You are waiving a number of valuable constitutional rights by pleading guilty. They're set forth at paragraph 2 of the plea agreement and I'm confident that Mr. Bugni has gone through them with you in detail. I'll just confirm on the record the basic rights you're giving up, beginning with the most fundamental right you have, which is to maintain a plea of not guilty and go forward to a jury trial. Do you have a general understanding as to what a jury trial would have involved?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What's your general understanding?

THE DEFENDANT: That there are 12 jurors and that they can find you either guilty or not guilty.

THE COURT: And that's essentially right. Those 12 people will be selected by you and your counsel, the government's counsel and me, if we went forward to a trial. They would come from -- be selected from a larger group of people taken at random from the presidential voting rolls in the Western District of Wisconsin.

Once selected, the government would carry the burden of proof throughout. You could challenge any evidence provided by the government through cross-examination by your counsel or otherwise under the rules of evidence.

You could also put on a defense if you chose to, although

you're not required to. You could subpoen witnesses to testify and present other evidence through your counsel. You could even take the stand in your defense, although you could never be required to testify in a criminal case against you.

And then ultimately, as you said, whatever evidence is admitted the jury would take back into a separate room and privately deliberate. Only if all 12 members of the jury agreed that the government had proven your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt could you be adjudged guilty. Do you understand that those are the rights you waive when you waive the right to a jury trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: By pleading guilty today, do you also understand that you'll be waiving your right against self-incrimination as well as your right to require the government to prove every element of the charge against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: By pleading to a felony offense today, do you understand that you may also be forfeiting other rights, including the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury yourself, as well as the right not only to own, but even to possess a firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And finally, do you understand that you have a right to court-appointed counsel, at government expense if necessary, throughout these proceedings, whether you plead not guilty again and go forward to trial or plead guilty and go forward to sentencing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And at this time I'm going to ask the assistant U.S. attorney to summarize the basic terms of your written plea agreement. And I'd ask that you listen closely to the summary, because I will ask your counsel and you afterwards whether the summary is consistent with your understanding of the basic terms of the written plea agreement.

Mr. Elgersma, if you would.

MR. ELGERSMA: Thank you, Your Honor. The complete understanding of the parties is set forth in the signed three-page plea agreement which the government e-filed with the Court.

But in summary, paragraph 1 sets forth the defendant's agreement to plead guilty to the one-count indictment in this case. It also sets forth the maximum penalties for those offenses.

In paragraph 2 the defendant acknowledges she is giving up certain rights by pleading guilty which the

Court previously reviewed with the defendant.

In paragraph 3 the defendant acknowledges her understanding that the plea could have immigration-related consequences if she is not a U.S. citizen, including removal from the United States.

In paragraph 4 the parties agree, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(C), and an assessment of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3553, that a sentence of 72 months is an appropriate disposition in this case. If the Court accepts the plea, the Court will be bound to sentence the defendant to 72 months in prison. If the Court rejects the plea, the defendant may withdraw her plea.

In paragraph 5 the parties agree to recommend that the defendant be housed in a federal correctional facility near her children. The defendant understands that the Bureau of Prisons is ultimately responsible for making the final decision regarding her placement.

In paragraph 6 the United States agrees this guilty plea will completely resolve all possible federal criminal violations that have occurred in the Western District of Wisconsin.

In paragraph 7 the defendant agrees to provide a full and truthful accounting of her finances.

In paragraph 8 the United States reserves the right

to make arguments in support of or in opposition to the 1 2 sentence imposed by the Court. 3 In paragraph 9 the defendant acknowledges her 4 understanding of the terms of the plea agreement. 5 Finally, in paragraph 10, the defendant acknowledges that the plea agreement dated April 29, 2021, is 6 7 rescinded. THE COURT: Mr. Bugni, is the summary just 8 9 provided by the assistant U.S. attorney consistent with 10 your understanding of the basic terms of the written plea agreement that will control in this case? 11 12 MR. BUGNI: It is, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Ms. Harper, is it also consistent 14 with your understanding? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Has anyone made you any other promise 17 than contained in the written plea agreement itself in 18 order to get you to plead guilty today? THE DEFENDANT: 19 No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or forced you 21 to plead guilty? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 23

THE COURT: Has anyone told you that by pleading guilty today, you're going to get a particular sentence from this Court other than the agreed upon 72 months,

24

25

which I would either accept or not accept, and then you could withdraw your plea if I didn't accept it? Other than that statement, has anyone told you that you're going to get a particular sentence from this Court other than between probation and ten years?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As you sit here today, except for that statement, do you have reason to think that you know what your actual sentence is going to be?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's because of the way I asked the question. Because this is a binding agreement, you do have reason to think 72 months. But do you understand that I'm not bound by that agreement --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- and that you could get a different sentence ultimately in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And do you understand that once you enter a plea of guilty, if I were to accept the binding 72-month sentence, that you would not be free to withdraw your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I should have asked, too, if any -if a victim or victim representatives are present, whether

they've been notified of this agreement.

MR. ELGERSMA: Yes, Your Honor, they have been notified.

THE COURT: And to your knowledge have not objected in any way?

MR. ELGERSMA: No, Your Honor, they have not.

THE COURT: All right. Then at this time I'm going to ask the assistant U.S. attorney to do one final thing and that is to summarize the basic facts the government believes it could have proven had this matter gone forward to trial. Again I'd ask you to listen closely, because at the end of it, I'm going to ask you if there's anything that's stated that you believe the government could not prove.

Mr. Elgersma.

MR. ELGERSMA: Had this case gone to trial, testimony would have shown that in 2020, a group of international journalists were investigating murder-for-hire sites on the dark web. The journalists developed a technical source that allowed them to monitor chat conversations taking place on at least one of these murder-for-hire sites.

From December 3rd through December 10th, 2020, the journalists monitored a conversation between the administrator of the murder-for-hire site and someone with

a user name Malik8, spelled M-A-L-I-K, the number 8. All of these chats related to Malik8 paying bitcoin to the site administrator as compensation for the administrator killing known victim, or hereinafter KV.

More specifically, Malik8 sent the site administrator KV's personal identifiable information; a picture of KV, a picture of KV's vehicle, including the license plate; information about where KV worked and KV's phone number. Malik8 told the administrator, quote, "The target needs to be killed."

When asked by the site administrator about proof of payments, Malik8 sent a screen capture or a picture of a bitcoin wallet showing a bitcoin balance valued at \$5,633.87. Malik8 told the administrator she was reluctant to transfer any bitcoin until, quote, "the job was done." Malik8 explained that she already paid a different murder-for-hire site to kill KV, but she was scammed out of the bitcoin. Malik8 included a screen capture from the first murder-for-hire site showing her bitcoin payment.

The journalists brought this information to KV's attention. They also provided KV with a Word document containing the chat messages and pictures previously discussed. This information was eventually turned over to the Sun Prairie Police Department and the Federal Bureau

of Investigation.

2.0

The FBI reviewed the documentation provided by the journalists, including Malik8's bitcoin transfer to the first murder-for-hire website. Using open-source databases, bank records and subpoenaed IP information, the FBI determined that the defendant made this transfer on or about October 19th, 2020, from her residence located in Columbus, Wisconsin.

On February 5th, 2021, FBI agents executed a search warrant at the defendant's house and found a picture on her computer from an MS-13 murder-for-hire dark web site as well as one of the pictures Malik8 sent to the murder-for-hire site administrator. Agents also found bank records with the onion address, or the website address, of a murder-for-hire site written on the back.

As agents executed the search warrant at the defendant's residence, law enforcement interviewed the defendant. During this interview, the defendant admitted to paying bitcoin to the administrator of a murder-for-hire dark web site in order to have KV killed.

Finally, Your Honor, I'd ask that the Court take judicial notice that Columbus, Wisconsin is in the Western District of Wisconsin.

THE COURT: Counsel, it perhaps was intentional, but if you mentioned it, I didn't hear what the original

payment or transfer was on October 19.

MR. ELGERSMA: That was not included, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bugni, from what you know about the record in this case, is there anything just reviewed by the assistant U.S. attorney that you believe the government could not prove at trial?

MR. BUGNI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Harper, is there anything that you think the government could not prove?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then I would just ask you to tell me, in your own words, what it is you did, what it is that you're proposing to plead guilty to.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I was going through a tumultuous custody battle with KV. He had abused our children emotionally and physically for many years. I reported it to police, guardian ad litems [verbatim]; there had been many court cases, CPS reports; and no one would listen or do anything to stop the abuse and help my children. It was my divine right, as a mother, to protect my children and help them from suffering. I was desperate and in a very dark place, went on the dark web to find someone to kill KV. I am deeply and sincerely sorry for my action.

THE COURT: And just so we're clear for purposes

of your plea today, it's correct that you did use this 1 2 name "Malik8" to go onto a murder-for-hire site and seek 3 the administrator of the site to agree to kill what we're 4 calling "KV" in exchange for a bitcoin payment of roughly 5 Am I accurately stating the basic facts? over \$5,000? MR. BUGNI: Can I have one second? 6 7 THE COURT: If you have any confusion -- why don't we break it down. First of all, is it correct that 8 9 you were Malik8 on the murder-for-hire site? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And is it also correct that 11 12 you were seeking on that site to have KV killed? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct. 14 THE COURT: And that as proof of your willingness 15 to pay for that killing that you provided a screenshot of 16 your bitcoin wallet containing a little over \$5,000? 17 THE DEFENDANT: The \$5,000 is not correct, but 18 the bitcoin wallet is correct. 19 THE COURT: And perhaps I had the number wrong. 20 MR. ELGERSMA: Your Honor, I can provide some 21 clarification on that. 22 THE COURT: Sure. 23 The first payment, so the payment MR. ELGERSMA:

that actually went through, was valued at approximately at
the time -- so bitcoin fluctuates -- at the time was

approximately \$1,438.45. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. And the second -- and the 3 amount shown on the screen caption of the bitcoin wallet 4 in the second transaction was what? 5 MR. ELGERSMA: \$5,633.87. THE COURT: All right. And it may be that --6 7 THE DEFENDANT: There was no second transaction 8 though. 9 THE COURT: Oh, I see what you're saying. 10 I'm asking is did you provide a screenshot, in your discussions on the possibility of paying for the murder, a 11 12 screenshot of money in your bitcoin account? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I provided a 14 screenshot with the amount. 15 THE COURT: You hadn't agreed on the amount at 16 that point? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Correct, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. But it was your intent to 19 negotiate the killing of KV? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Any further allocution that the government believes is necessary before I ask for a plea? 22 23 MR. ELGERSMA: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Any reason why I should not ask for a

plea from your client at this time, Mr. Bugni?

25

MR. BUGNI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then I will ask, Ms. Harper, formally, how do you plead to the one-count indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty, Your Honor.

today, as well as with your counsel and the record in the case as a whole, I am satisfied that you have entered a plea of guilty knowingly and voluntarily after adequate opportunity to consult with counsel and that you have an understanding of both the nature of the charge as well as the consequences of a plea of guilty. I am also satisfied that there is a factual basis for your plea. And accordingly, I do find and adjudge you guilty of the one-count indictment and I accept the plea agreement conditionally pending review of the presentence report.

That report will be due on August 12th, with objections due August 26th and sentencing to proceed on September 30th, 2021, at 1 p.m. I believe those are dates already agreed on by the parties, but I'll hear first from the government if there's anything more.

MR. ELGERSMA: Nothing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything more for the defense?

MR. BUGNI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Harper, you've been ably represented by Mr. Bugni and he'll advise you as to

cooperating in preparation of the presentence report. I urge you to follow his advice, because it's his job to look out for your interests. On the other hand, I begin thinking about a sentence, and in this case the appropriateness of the binding sentence, based on that presentence report. To the extent you agree to, I would encourage your cooperation.

I will consider any other information I'm provided as long as I get it at least two days before sentencing. As Mr. Bugni knows, the sooner I get it, the more it can be vetted and therefore the more confidence I can have in it for sentencing. And I will see both parties on September 30th for sentencing.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor. (Adjourned at 2:44 p.m.)

\* \* \*

I, CHERYL A. SEEMAN, Certified Realtime and Merit Reporter, in and for the State of Wisconsin, certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings held on the 24th day of June, 2021, before the Honorable William M. Conley, of the Western District of Wisconsin, in my presence and reduced to writing in accordance with my stenographic notes made at said time and place. Dated this 8th day of August, 2022. /s/ Cheryl A. Seeman, RMR, CRR Federal Court Reporter The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter.